Attv. Dkt. No.: 81445-328

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of dynamically adjusting the control parameters of a proportional 1 gain and integral time controller disposed to control an actuator affecting a process. 2

3 comprising:

- sampling a feedback signal representative of a controlled variable of the 4 process to generate a sampled signal:
- 6 generating a smoothed signal based on the sampled signal;
- 7 determining an estimated noise level of the sampled signal;
- 8 determining if control output and process output are oscillating quickly based
- 9 on predefined criteria;
- adjusting the gain used by the controller if the control output and process 10 output are oscillating quickly; and 11
- utilizing the adjusted control parameters to control the actuator, thereby 12 causing the controller to affect the process. 13
- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein adjusting the gain comprises decreasing the 1 2 gain.
- 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising determining whether a significant 1
- load disturbance occurred by comparing a tune noise band to the difference between a 2
- current setpoint value and the smoothed signal if the control output and process output 3
- are not oscillating quickly. 4
- 1 4. The method of claim 3, wherein the gain and integral time remain the same if a significant load disturbance has not occurred.

Atty. Dkt. No.: 81445-328

- 5. The method of claim 3, further comprising characterizing a closed loop
 response by monitoring features from a control signal, smoothed process output, and
- 3 slope of the process output if a significant load disturbance occurred.
- 1 6. The method of claim 5, further comprising determining whether a pattern is
- 2 insignificant based on a setpoint and tune band or whether the control output is
- 3 saturated.

- 7. The method of claim 6, wherein the gain and integral time remain the same
- when the pattern is insignificant or the control output is saturated.
- 8. The method of claim 6, wherein a new gain and new integral time are
- 2 determined when the pattern is not insignificant and the control output is not saturated.
 - 9. The method of claim 8, wherein the new gain and new integral time are
- determined based on estimated optimal gain, estimated optimal integral time, current
- 3 gain and integral time values used in the controller, a signal-to-noise ratio of the
- 4 sampled signal, and size of a current load disturbance or setpoint change relative to
- 5 average disturbance size.

- 10. An apparatus for dynamically adjusting control parameters of a proportional 1 gain and integral time controller disposed to control an actuator affecting a process, 2 comprising: 3
- means for sampling a feedback signal representative of a controlled variable
- 4 of the process to generate a sampled signal; 5
- means for generating a smoothed signal based on the sampled signal; 6
- means for determining an estimated noise level of the sampled signal: 7
- means for determining if control output and process output are oscillating 8
- quickly based on predefined criteria;
- means for adjusting the gain used by the controller if the control output and 10 process output are oscillating quickly; and
- 12 means for utilizing the adjusted control parameters to control the actuator, thereby causing the controller to affect the process. 13
- 11. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein adjusting the gain comprises decreasing 1 the gain. 2
- 12. The apparatus of claim 10, further comprising means for determining 1
- 2 whether a significant load disturbance occurred by comparing a tune noise band to the
- 3 difference between a current setpoint value and the smoothed signal if the control
- output and process output are not oscillating quickly. 4
- 13. The apparatus of claim 12, further comprising means for not changing the 1
- gain and integral time values if a significant load disturbance has not occurred.

Atty. Dkt. No.: 81445-328

1 14. The apparatus of claim 12, further comprising means for characterizing a
2 closed loop response by monitoring features from a control signal, smoothed process
3 output, and slope of the process output if a significant load disturbance occurred.

15. The apparatus of claim 14, further comprising means for determining
whether a pattern is insignificant based on a setpoint and tune band or whether the
control output is saturated.

1 16. The apparatus of claim 15, further comprising means for not changing the
2 gain and integral time values when the pattern is insignificant or the control output is
3 saturated.

17. The apparatus of claim 15, further comprising means for determining a new gain and new integral time when the pattern is not insignificant and the control output is not saturated.

18. The apparatus of claim 16, further comprising a means for determining a
2 new gain and new integral time based on an estimated optimal gain, estimated optimal
3 integral time, current gain and integral time values used in the controller, a signal-to4 noise ratio of the sampled signal, and size of a current load disturbance or setpoint
5 change relative to average disturbance size.

- 1 19. A method of dynamically adjusting the control parameters of a proportional
- 2 gain and integral time controller disposed to control an actuator affecting a process,
- 3 comprising:
- sampling a feedback signal representative of a controlled variable of the
- 5 process to generate a sampled signal;
- generating a smoothed signal based on the sampled signal;
- 7 determining an estimated noise level of the sampled signal;
- 8 determining whether a pattern is insignificant based on a setpoint and tune
- 9 band and whether the control output is saturated;
- determining a new gain and a new integral time and setting the gain and
- integral time of the controller to the new gain and new integral time if the pattern is not
- insignificant and the control output is not saturated; and
- utilizing the adjusted control parameters to control the actuator, thereby
- causing the controller to affect the process.
- 20. The method of claim 19, wherein the new gain and new integral time are
- determined based on an estimated optimal gain, estimated optimal integral time, current
- gain and integral time values used in the controller, a signal-to-noise ratio of the
- 4 sampled signal, and size of a current load disturbance or setpoint change relative to
- 5 average disturbance size
- 1 21. The method of claim 19, further comprising determining whether control
- output and process output are oscillating quickly based on predefined criteria.

Atty. Dkt. No.: 81445-328

1 22. The method of claim 21, further comprising adjusting the gain used by the

controller if the control output and process output are oscillating quickly, wherein

- 3 adjusting the gain comprises decreasing the gain.
- 1 23. The method of claim 21, further comprising determining whether a
- 2 significant load disturbance has occurred by comparing a tune noise band to the
- 3 difference between a current setpoint value and the smoothed signal if the control
- 4 output and process output are not oscillating quickly.
- 1 24. The method of claim 23, wherein the gain and integral time remain the same
- 2 if a significant load disturbance has not occurred.
- 1 25. The method of claim 24, further comprising characterizing a closed loop
- 2 response by monitoring features from a control signal, smoothed process output, and
- 3 slope of the process output if a significant load disturbance has occurred.
- 26. The method of claim 19, wherein the gain and integral time remain the same
- when the pattern is insignificant or the control output is saturated.